

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/030,779	Applicant(s) ROETHLINGSHOEFER ET AL.	
	Examiner Erma Cameron	Art Unit 1762	

All Participants:

 (1) Erma Cameron.

 (2) Abraham Ronai.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

White

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

White
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant submitted a draft of proposed changes in the independent claims 17 and 35 to recite deposition of metal in both claims. This amendment will make the claims, and those dependent on claims 17 and 35, allowable. The applicant will now submit the amended claims formally to be entered..